LUATIOLY ID.L.

Approved For Release 2004/09/23: CIA-RDP80R01720R000900080084-9 THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

2 May 1974

Mr. Andrew W. Marshall Director, Net Assessment Office of the Secretary of Defense

Dear Andy:

Thank you for your note of 29 April enclosing your 16 April memorandum for the DOD Net Assessment Steering Committee outlining the proposed structure of your net assessment program over the course of the next two years. It is an ambitious program which, when completed, will shed useful light on a number of important subjects. I have taken the liberty of showing your memo to the DCI and other colleagues interested in the topics you plan to treat.

I would indeed welcome the opportunity to discuss some of these topics and their likely implications for intelligence. I would particularly like to talk with you about the last of the four "issues for discussion" outlined in your 16 April memorandum's final section. I suspect there has been a communications garble of some form in this area. So far as I am aware the IC Staff is not "pressing to get involved" in the net assessment field and to my certain knowledge this is not the case with respect to the NIOs. We are concerned about the problem of force projections and analyses of implications which we consider essential in certain Estimates. We are, of course, also aware of the fact that the borderline between force projections and net assessments is sometimes arbitrary or hard to define with any great degree Nonetheless, we see what we consider a of precision. real and important distinction between these two spheres of activity which, though sometimes complementary, should not be overlapping.

25X1

LUNG MERRIAL

Approved For Release 2004/09/23: CIA-RDP80R01720R000900080084-9

As you are probably aware, Howard Stoertz (the NIO for Strategic Programs) is attempting to resolve -- with CIA and DIA -- the thorny question of how force projections and implication discussions can best be handled in national intelligence products, including National Estimates. This question encompasses the complex matter of how intelligence projections ought to relate to those of the DIPP. If you are interested, Howard will be glad to fill you in on the course of this exercise.

George A. Carver, Jr.

George A. Carver, Jr.
Deputy for National Intelligence Officers